The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is not binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

JAN 1 2 2006

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte PETER J. KIGHT et al.

Application 09/540,011

ORDER REMANDING TO THE EXAMINER

Before FLEMING, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and MACDONALD, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

PER CURIAM

On consideration of the record, we note that the appeal includes rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 involving the statutory nature of at least some of the claims on appeal.

We further note that the evaluation of such claims could possibly be affected by the Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks' published final version of the "Interim

Application No. 09/540,011

Guidelines for Examination of Patent Application for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility," signed October 26, 2005. This document is located at the following URL:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101_20051026.pdf

Furthermore, at least one of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 is directed to whether or not the claims lie within the technological arts. The evaluation of such claims could also be possibly affected by Exparte Lundgren, 76 USPQ2d 1385 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 2005). Thus, we hereby remand this application for reconsideration in view of the "Guidelines" and the recent Exparte Lundgren decision cited above, and for any other action that the examiner deems appropriate.

REMAND

MICHAEL R. FLEMING, Chie Administrative Patent Judge

GARY V. HARKOM, Vice Chief

Administrative/Patent Judge

ALLEN R. MACDONALD
Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

MRF:psb

Application No. 09/540,011

Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP 999 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309